

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,191	07/12/2001	Per Ake Torngren	IT19990006	8376
7590 10/07/2003			EXAMINER	
Joel M Van Winkle			YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI	
Whirlpool Corporation 2000 North M 63			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Maildrop 2200			1761	
Benton Harbor, MI 49022-2692			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. O9/889, 191 Applicant(s) Torngraph et al
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 1761
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on th cover sheet beneath th correspondence address—
Period for Reply	. 4
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE Three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. alt, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ature, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	
☐ R sponsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims	
	are pending in the application.
\sim	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
th Claim(s) at and 25	2//
1-23 and 26-	—3 ⁴
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election
U Cialm(s)	
pplication Papers	requirement
pplication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved.
pplication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved.
pplication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved.
pplication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved.
pplication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved. cted to by the Examiner
pplication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved. cted to by the Examiner
pplication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).
pplication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
pplication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No
pplication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No its have been received
Deplication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No its have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No its have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:	requirement is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No. its have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ The internation in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No ts have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:	requirement is _ approved _ disapproved. cted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No its have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) o(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ______



Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 30, 31 and 34 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- 1. The phrase "characterised in that" recited in claims 30 and 31 should be changed to -- wherein -- in order to conform to the terminology used in the U.S. claim practice.
- 2. The phrase "any one of claims 30" recited in claim 34, line 1 should be changed to read -- claim 30 --.

Claims 1-23 and 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention for the following reasons:

- 1. The terms "preferably" and "advantageously" recited in claims 1-3, 7, 10-14, 26, 29, 30 and 33 are indefinite.
- 2. The limitation "no additional microwave energy is supplied to the oven cavity" recited in claim 27, lines 1-2, is improper since it is a negative limitation. The claim should be definite and positive as to what is actually being claimed.

Claims 24 and 25 are allowed.

Claims 1-23 and 26-34 are free of the prior art. Claims 1-23 and 26-34 would be allowable if amended to overcome the objections and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,191

Art Unit: 1761

The Ueda patent is cited to show a microware oven having low-energy defrost and high-energy cooking modes. The Eke patent is cited to show a method of defrosting a frozen food item in a microwave oven. The Kim patent is cited to show a defrosting method for a microwave oven using an infrared sensor.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record does not show or suggest the combination of method steps set forth in claims 1-3 and 24 and the combination of structural elements set forth in claim 14 for thawing frozen food in a microwave oven.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (703) 308-3848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

George C. Yeung/mn September 29, 2003 GEORGE C. YEUNG